

**New Development Charges and Infrastructure Capacity Expansion Costs  
Ian Thomas' Constituents List  
6/29/2014, 176 Respondents**

**1. What percentage of the cost of expansion of these systems (water, sewer, storm water, electricity, and roads) do you believe should be paid for by new development, in the future?**

None (0%)	7	4%
A little (10-20%) — current situation (16.1%)	19	11%
About one-third (30-40%)	9	5%
About one-half (approx. 50%)	25	14%
About two-thirds (60-70%)	34	19%
The majority (80-90%)	53	30%
All of it (100%)	25	14%
I do not know	3	2%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>100%</b>

**2. Water Utility: \$7.0 million was collected in water connection fees while \$18.0 million was spent in expanding system capacity, meaning 38.9% of the cost was allocated to new development.**

**What percentage of the cost of water utility expansion do you believe should be paid for by new development, in the future?**

None (0%)	9	5%
A little (10-20%)	12	7%
About one-third (30-40%) — current situation (38.9%)	15	9%
About one-half (approx. 50%)	27	16%
About two-thirds (60-70%)	28	16%
The majority (80-90%)	53	31%
All of it (100%)	26	15%
I do not know	2	1%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>100%</b>

**3. Sewer Utility: \$6.5 million was collected in sewer connection fees while \$24.4 million was spent in expanding system capacity, meaning 26.6% of the cost was allocated to new development.**

**What percentage of the cost of sewer utility expansion do you believe should be paid for by new development, in the future?**

None (0%)	9	5%
A little (10-20%)	14	8%
About one-third (30-40%) — current situation (26.6%)	15	9%
About one-half (approx. 50%)	24	14%
About two-thirds (60-70%)	28	16%
The majority (80-90%)	52	30%
All of it (100%)	31	18%
I do not know	2	1%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>100%</b>

**4. Storm Water Utility: \$3.4 million was collected in storm water impact fees while \$5.2 million was spent in expanding system capacity, meaning 65.4% of the cost was allocated to new development. What percentage of the cost of storm water utility expansion do you believe should be paid for by new development, in the future?**

None (0%)	9	5%
A little (10-20%)	9	5%
About one-third (30-40%)	9	5%
About one-half (approx. 50%)	18	10%
About two-thirds (60-70%) — current situation (65.4%)	41	24%
The majority (80-90%)	56	32%
All of it (100%)	29	17%
I do not know	2	1%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>100%</b>

**5. Electric Utility: There was (and is) no electric utility connection fee, and so nothing was collected while \$19.8 million was spent in expanding system capacity, meaning the entire cost of expansion was paid for through community-wide utility rates and taxes. What percentage of the cost of electric utility expansion do you believe should be paid for by new development, in the future?**

None (0%) — current situation	20	11%
A little (10-20%)	11	6%
About one-third (30-40%)	12	7%
About one-half (approx. 50%)	23	13%
About two-thirds (60-70%)	24	14%
The majority (80-90%)	49	28%
All of it (100%)	31	18%
I do not know	4	2%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>100%</b>

**6. Collector and Arterial Roads: \$7.6 million was collected in development charges for collector and arterial roads while \$84.5 million was spent in expanding system capacity, meaning 9.0% of the cost was allocated to new development. What percentage of the cost of expansion of collector and arterial roads do you believe should be paid for by new development, in the future?**

None (0%)	12	7%
A little (10-20%) — current situation (9.0%)	15	9%
About one-third (30-40%)	15	9%
About one-half (approx. 50%)	30	17%
About two-thirds (60-70%)	26	15%
The majority (80-90%)	44	25%
All of it (100%)	27	16%
I do not know	4	2%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>100%</b>